

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Symmetries of a nonlinear equation in plasma physics

This article has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text article. 1991 J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 24 L785

(http://iopscience.iop.org/0305-4470/24/14/006)

View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details: IP Address: 129.252.86.83 The article was downloaded on 01/06/2010 at 11:01

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. 24 (1991) L785-L787. Printed in the UK

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Symmetries of a nonlinear equation in plasma physics

N Euler[†], W-H Steeb[†] and P Mulser[‡]

† Department of Applied Mathematics and Nonlinear Studies, Rand Afrikaans University, PO Box 524, Johannesburg 2000, Republic of South Africa
‡ Institut für Angewandte Physik, Technische Hochschule Darmstadt, D-6100 Darmstadt, Federal Republic of Germany

Received 18 April 1991

Abstract. The Lie symmetry vector fields are derived for a nonlinear equation in plasma physics.

For a collisionless plasma of cold ions and warm electrons, the basic system of partial differential equations may be given as follows [1]

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (nu) = 0 \qquad (\text{equation of continuity}) \tag{1}$$

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} = E \qquad (\text{equation of motion}) \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{\partial n_e}{\partial x} = -n_e E \qquad (balance of pressure and electric force) \qquad (3)$$

$$\frac{\partial E}{\partial x} = n - n_e$$
 (the Poisson equation) (4)

where n and n_e denote the density of ions and electrons, respectively, u is the flow velocity of the ions, and E is the electric field. All these quantities are dimensionless. The inertia term is neglected because of the small mass of electrons. We can eliminate n and E from system (1)-(4). We obtain

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{1}{n_e} \frac{\partial n_e}{\partial x} = 0$$
(5)

$$\frac{\partial n_e}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} (n_e u) + \frac{\partial P}{\partial x} = 0$$
(6)

where

$$P = -\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + u\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) \left(\frac{1}{n_{\rm e}}\frac{\partial n_{\rm e}}{\partial x}\right). \tag{7}$$

We now show that the Korteweg-de Vries equation is included in these equations under certain approximations. We introduce the transformation

$$\xi(x,t) = \varepsilon^{1/2}(x-t) \qquad \qquad \eta(x) = \varepsilon^{3/2}x \qquad (8)$$

$$u(\xi(x, t), \eta(x)) = u(x, t) \qquad n_e(\xi(x, t), \eta(x)) = n_e(x, t)$$
(9)

and apply the formal expansion (reductive perturbation method)

$$u(\xi, \eta) = \varepsilon u^{(1)}(\xi, \eta) + \varepsilon^2 u^{(2)}(\xi, \eta) + \dots$$
(10)

$$n_{e}(\xi, \eta) = 1 + \varepsilon n_{e}^{(1)}(\xi, \eta) + \varepsilon^{2} n_{e}^{(2)}(\xi, \eta) + \dots$$
(11)

Then we find that

$$u^{(1)} = n_e^{(1)}$$

and

$$\frac{\partial u^{(1)}}{\partial \eta} + u^{(1)} \frac{\partial u^{(1)}}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\partial^3 u^{(1)}}{\partial \xi^3} = 0$$
(12)

$$\frac{\partial n_e^{(1)}}{\partial \eta} + n_e^{(1)} \frac{\partial n_e^{(1)}}{\partial \xi} + \frac{\partial^3 n_e^{(1)}}{\partial \xi^3} = 0.$$
(13)

Thus we see that u, the ion-fluid velocity, and n_e , the electron density, obey the same Korteweg-de Vries equation, and move with the same phase, $u^{(1)} = n_e^{(1)}$. The nonlinear term $u^{(1)}\partial u^{(1)}/\partial \xi$ comes from the interaction of ions with electrons affecting ions themselves, and $n_e^{(1)}\partial n_e^{(1)}/\partial \xi$ expresses a similar effect on electrons through the interaction with ions.

It is well known that the Korteweg-de Vries equation admits an infinite hierarchy of Lie-Bäcklund vector fields and an infinite hierarchy of conservation laws. Moreover, it admits a Lax representation, an auto-Bäcklund transformation and passes the Painlevé test [2, 3]. The Korteweg-de Vries equation

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial^3 u}{\partial x^3} = 0$$
(14)

has the following Lie symmetry vector fields

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \qquad t \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial u} \qquad x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + 3t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - 2u \frac{\partial}{\partial u}.$$

For our study of the symmetry vector fields of system (1)-(4) we adopt the jet bundle formalism. From system (1)-(4) we obtain the submanifolds [4]

$$F_1 = n_t + n_x u + n u_x = 0 \tag{15}$$

$$F_2 \equiv u_t + u u_x - E = 0 \tag{16}$$

$$F_3 \equiv n_{ex} + n_e E = 0 \tag{17}$$

$$F_4 \equiv E_x - n + n_e = 0 \tag{18}$$

and their differential consequences. Let V be a Lie symmetry vector field. Let V_v be the corresponding vertical vector field. Then the invariance condition of system (1)-(4) is given by

$$L_{V_v}F_j \triangleq 0 \qquad j=1,\ldots,4$$

where \triangleq stands for the restriction to solutions of system (1)-(4). Let us first study the scale invariance of system (1)-(4). The ansatz for the Lie symmetry vector field describing the scale invariance is given by

$$S = c_1 x \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + c_2 t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + c_3 u \frac{\partial}{\partial u} + c_4 n \frac{\partial}{\partial n} + c_5 n_e \frac{\partial}{\partial n_e} + c_6 E \frac{\partial}{\partial E}$$

where c_1, \ldots, c_6 are real constants. From the invariance condition we find that $c_1 = \ldots = c_6 = 0$. This means that system (1)-(4) does not admit a scale symmetry.

The ansatz for the Lie symmetry vector fields

$$V = a\frac{\partial}{\partial x} + b\frac{\partial}{\partial t} + c\frac{\partial}{\partial u} + d\frac{\partial}{\partial n} + e\frac{\partial}{\partial n_e} + f\frac{\partial}{\partial E}$$
(19)

where a, \ldots, f are functions of x, t, u, n, n_e , E, gives the symmetry vector fields

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \qquad \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \qquad t \frac{\partial}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial}{\partial u}.$$

The first two symmetry vector fields are obvious, since the system (1)-(4) does not depend explicitly on t and x. The transformation group associated with the third vector field is given by

$$t'(x, t, \varepsilon) = t \tag{20}$$

$$x'(x, t, \varepsilon) = \varepsilon t + x$$
 (21)

$$u'(x'(x,t),t'(x,t),\varepsilon) = \varepsilon + u(x,t)$$
(22)

$$n'(x'(x,t),t'(x,t),\varepsilon) = n(x,t)$$
⁽²³⁾

$$n'_{\rm e}(x'(x,t),t'(x,t),\varepsilon) = n_{\rm e}(x,t)$$
⁽²⁴⁾

$$E'(x'(x,t), t'(x,t), \varepsilon) = E(x,t).$$
⁽²⁵⁾

We also studied the existence of Lie Bäcklund symmetry vector fields. In this case the coefficients a, \ldots, f of the vector field given by (19) depend on x, t, u, n, n_e , E, u_x, \ldots, E_{xx} . We find that system (1)-(4) does not admit Lie-Bäcklund vector fields of this form. Finally we mention that system (1)-(4) does not pass the Painlevé test [2, 3]. These results indicate that system (1)-(4) is not completely integrable, although an approximation leads to a completely integrable system, namely the Korteweg-de Vries equation.

References

- [1] Washimi H and Taniuti T 1966 Phys. Rev. Lett. 17 996
- [2] Steeb W-H and Euler N 1988 Nonlinear Evolution Equations and Painlevé Test (Singapore: World Scientific)
- [3] Steeb W-H 1990 Problems in Theoretical Physics, Volume II: Advanced Problems (Mannheim: Bibliographisches Institut)
- [4] Steeb W-H and Strampp W 1982 Physica 114A 95